# EXHIBIT 4 PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4	x		
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No.		
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION 3:21-md-02981-JD		
6			
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:		
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.,		
	Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD		
9			
	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust		
10	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD		
11			
	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust		
12	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD		
13			
	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,		
14	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD		
15	Match Group IIC et al w Google IIC et al		
16	Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,  Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD		
1.0	Case No. 5:22-CV-U2/46-JD		
17	<b>x</b>		
18	** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDER ***		
19			
20	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF		
21	KIRSTEN RASANEN		
22	Wednesday, August 17, 2022		
23			
24	REPORTED BY:		
25	RENEE HARRIS, CA CSR 14168, NJ CCR, RPR		

	Page 2		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4	x		
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No.		
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION 3:21-md-02981-JD		
6			
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:		
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.,		
	Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD		
9			
	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust		
10	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD		
11			
	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust		
12	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD		
13			
1 4	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,		
14 15	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD		
13	Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,		
16	Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD		
_ 0	x		
17			
	** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDER **		
18			
19	Remote Videotaped Deposition of KIRSTEN		
20	RASANEN, appearing from San Francisco, California,		
21	at 9:05 a.m. PDT, on Wednesday, August 17, 2022,		
22	before Renee Harris, California Certified		
23	Shorthand Reporter No. 14168, New Jersey Certified		
24	Court Reporter No. 30XI00241200, and Registered		
25	Professional Reporter.		

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	Counsel for the Proposed Class In re: Google
4	Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation:
5	KOREIN TILLERY LAW OFFICE
6	BY: DAVID WALCHAK, ESQ.
7	205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1950
8	Chicago, Illinois 60601
9	dwalchak@koreintillery.com
10	
11	On Behalf of Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in:
12	Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC, et al:
13	WIGGIN & DANA LLP
14	BY: BEN DIESSEL, ESQ.
15	ZEYNEP E. AYDOGAN, ESQ.
16	265 Church Street One, Century Tower
17	New Haven, Connecticut 06510
18	bdiessel@wiggin.com
19	zaydogan@wiggin.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (CONTINUED)
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC; Humor
3	Rainbow, Inc.; PlentyofFish Media ULC; and People
4	Media:
5	HUESTON HENNIGAN
6	BY: JOSEPH REITER, ESQ.
7	620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300
8	Newport Beach, California 92660
9	jreiter@hueston.com
10	
11	Counsel for Google LLC, et al:
12	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
13	BY: JONATHAN KRAVIS, ESQ.
14	601 Massachusetts Avenue NW Suite 500E
15	Washington, D.C 20001
16	jonathan.kravis@mto.com
17	-and-
18	JAMIE LUGURI, ESQ.
19	350 S. Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
20	Los Angeles, California 90071
21	jamie.luguri@mto.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

# Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 506-5 Filed 05/18/23 Page 6 of 21

	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (CONTINUED)
2	Counsel for State of Utah:
3	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	STATE OF UTAH
5	BY: BAHADUR KHAN, ESQ.
6	1594 West North Temple, Suite 300
7	Salt Lake City, Utah 84116
8	bkhan@agutah.gov
9	
10	Also Present:
11	Sean Grant, Videographer
12	Chinyere Woods, Technician
13	Brian Smith, ESQ., Apple
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 11
1	Wednesday, August 17, 2022
2	9:05 a.m.
3	<del></del>
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
5	on the record. The time is 9:05 a.m. and the
6	date is August 17th, 2022.
7	Please note that this deposition is being
8	conducted virtually. Quality of recording
9	depends on the quality of camera and Internet
10	connection.
11	What is seen for the witness and what is
12	heard on screen is what will be recorded.
13	Audio and video recording will take place
14	unless all parties agree to go off the
15	record.
16	This begins Volume I, Media Unit 1 of the
17	video-recorded deposition of Kirsten Rasanen.
18	This deposition is being taken by counsel for
19	Plaintiffs in the matter in re: Google Play
20	Store Anti-Trust Litigation filed in United
21	States District Court, Northern District of
22	California, San Francisco Division, Case No.
23	3:21-md-02981-JD and is being conducted
24	remotely using virtual technology.
25	My name is Sean Grant representing

	Page 12
1	Veritext; I am the videographer, and the
2	court reporter is Renée Harris also from
3	Veritext.
4	I am not related to any party in this
5	action, nor am I financially interested in
6	the outcome.
7	If there are any objections to
8	proceeding, please state them at the time of
9	your appearance.
10	Counsel and all present including
11	remotely will now state their appearance and
12	affiliations for the record beginning with
13	the noticing attorney, Mr. Walchak.
14	MR. WALCHAK: My name is David Walchak,
15	I'm with the law firm Korein Tillery, and I'm
16	representing Consumer Plaintiffs today.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Reiter?
18	MR. REITER: Good morning. This is
19	Joseph Reiter for the Match Plaintiffs.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Diessel?
21	MR. DIESSEL: Ben Diessel on behalf of
22	Epic and with me is my colleague, Zeynep
23	Aydogan.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Khan?
25	MR. KHAN: My name is Bahadur Khan,

	Page 13		
1	counsel for the State of Utah here today on		
2	behalf of 39 Attorney Generals.		
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Kravis?		
4	MR. KRAVIS: Good morning, Jonathan		
5	Kravis from Munger Tolles & Olson for Google		
6	and the witness, and with me is Jamie Luguri,		
7	also from MTO, and Brian Smith from Google.		
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the		
9	Certified Court Reporter please swear in the		
10	witness.		
11			
12	KIRSTEN RASANEN,		
13	called as a witness and having been first duly		
14	sworn by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, was		
15	examined and testified as follows:		
16			
17	EXAMINATION		
18			
19	BY MR. WALCHAK:		
20	Q. Ms. Rasanen, you just heard just a moment		
21	ago but my name is David Walchak. I'm with the		
22	Consumer Plaintiffs. I am representing Consumer		
23	Plaintiffs today with the law firm Korein Tillery,		
24	and I'm going to begin asking you questions before		
25	the other Plaintiffs' group take turns after me.		

Page 244

of them. We talked about advantages of Google Play Billing, the features of Google Play Billing, the -- the rationale for us wanting them to use Google Play Billing. We discussed what they would like to see added to Google Play Billing. There were a lot of varying conversations across the -- the apps themselves.

- Q. What was the rationale for Google wanting those Match Plaintiffs to use Google Play Billing?
- A. We wanted to ensure that all developers were in compliance with our policies, and in our view, the Match apps, the ones that were not using Google Play Billing were not in compliance with our policies, and so it was important to us to make sure that, you know, all apps complied with policy so we were pushing -- we were asking them to please comply.
- Q. And which apps in particular are you referring to that were not in compliance with Google's policy?

Q. And when you had those discussions, did

Page 257

rage 23,
Were you involved in considering whether
Google would change that exception or remove it
from the payments policy?
A. Yes, I was involved in conversations
about that.
Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as
to why Google had that exception in its payment
policy at that time?
A. My understanding at the time, and today,
based on looking at the words there, is that
this this was implemented specifically sort of
in the early days of of apps, and specifically
designed to allow apps to sell content that could
be used in different applications. Again, songs
downloaded as is mentioned there.
And and so that was the use case that
was intended when it was written. That's my
understanding and recollection.

Q. Why would Google not require use of Google Play Billing for the purchase of content that could be used in different applications?

MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: You know, I don't remember the specific rationale as to -- as to why.

It's not coming back to me, I'm sorry.

Page 258

	BY	MR.	REITER	
--	----	-----	--------	--

- Q. What did you mean when you said "different applications"?
- A. Like an MP3 that could be downloaded from, you know, a music service, and then played in another music player. That kind of thing. Or an e-book that could be purchased and downloaded from one provider and used in another reader application.
- Q. And what about content that could be downloaded in-app and used on a website?

MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if that was contemplated at the time that the policy was written. My understanding is this was cross-app or content ownership-based exception.

#### BY MR. REITER:

- Q. Well, websites existed at the time that this policy was crafted; right?
- A. Yeah, so, sorry, let me -- "downloaded" was -- was the word that I was anchoring on there, not the -- what platform.
- Q. Ad I appreciate that you used the word "downloaded."

	Page 259
1	But when you actually look at the
2	payments policy language, which is PX 1436, it
3	doesn't include the word "downloaded" with respect
4	to digital content, does it?
5	A. It does not.
6	Q. So is that the exception would apply
7	to digital content that may be consumed outside
8	the app itself; right?
9	A. That's what the words say, yes.
10	Q. And would you agree with me that a
11	website is a platform through which digital
12	content may be consumed?
13	MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	BY MR. REITER:
16	Q. And so would you agree with me that the
17	exception in Google's payment policy at this time
18	would apply to digital content that could be
19	consumed on a website?
20	A. Sorry, as we're digging in on this and
21	discussing it, my interpretation is that when this
22	was written, it was meant outside the app
23	itself was meant to mean outside of the service
24	that it was purchased on.
25	So yes, use on a website would be

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		
	Page	260

considered outside the app itself. I'm not sure that that fully encompasses the intent of this clause.

Q. But you would agree with me that the clause as written, would be -- should -- you would agree with me that the clause as written could be interpreted to mean that use of Google Play Billing is not required for digital content that may be consumed on a website; right?

MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Can you -- can you repeat

the question?

#### BY MR. REITER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Q. Yeah, so just setting aside what you understand to be the intent of the payments policy language, would you agree with me that as written, it means that Google Play Billing is not required for the purchase of digital content that could be consumed on a website?

MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS:



Q. Is that how you interpreted it?

	Page 261				
1	MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.				
2	THE WITNESS: No.				
3	BY MR. REITER:				
4	Q. Why not?				
5	A. In my role at Google Play, I I talked				
6	to to team members and people who are familiar				
7	with this, and I was I was told what the intent				
8	of this was, and so I understood the intent to be				
9	outside the app itself.				
10	I anchored a little bit on downloaded but				
11	I know what you're saying. So I didn't interpret				
12	it that way.				
14	Q. How many developers interpreted the				
15	language in the payments policy to not require use				
16	of Google Play Billing for digital content that				
17	could be consumed on a website?				
18					
	MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.				
19	MR. KRAVIS: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know an				
19 20					
	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know an				
20	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know an exact number.				
20 21	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know an exact number.  BY MR. REITER:				
20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know an exact number.  BY MR. REITER:  Q. Could you give me an estimate?				

	Page 355					
1	portfolio.					
2	Q.					
7	MR. REITER: Object to form.					
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.					
9	BY MR. KRAVIS:					
LO	Q.					
.4	MR. REITER: Object					
.5	MR. DIESSEL: Objection to form.					
.6	THE WITNESS: Yes.					
.7	BY MR. KRAVIS:					
.8	Q. And was that was that the view that					
.9	Google consistently represented to Match during					
20	the time that you were part of the team					
21	responsible for Match partner management?					
22	MR. REITER: Object to form.					
23	MR. DIESSEL: Object form.					
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.					
25						

	Page 364				
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )				
2	) ss.				
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )				
4	I, RENEE HARRIS, do hereby certify that I				
5	am a licensed Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly				
6	qualified and certified as such by the State of				
7	California;				
8	That prior to being examined, the witness named				
9	in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn				
10	to testify to tell the truth, the whole truth, and				
11	nothing but the truth;				
12	That the said deposition was by me recorded				
13	stenographically;				
14	And the foregoing pages constitute a full,				
15	true, complete and correct record of the testimony				
16	given by the said witness;				
17	That I am a disinterested person, not				
18	being in any way interested in the outcome of said				
19	action, or connected with, nor related to any of				
20	the parties in said action, or to their respective				
21	counsel, in any manner whatsoever.				
22	DATED: August 18, 2022				
23	M.				
24	Renee Harris, CSR, CCR, RPR				
	CA CSR No. 14168,				
25	NJ CRR No. 30XI00241200				

#### Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 506-5 Filed 05/18/23 Page 18 of 21

#### MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

RONALD L. OLSON
ROBERT E.DENHAM
JEFFREY I. WEINBERGER
CAFY B. LERMAN
GREGORY P. STONE
BRAD D. BRANN
GEORGE M. GARNYEY
WILLIAM D. TEMKO
JOHN W. SPIEGEL
DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR. P.C.\*
TERRY E. SANCHEZ
STEVEN M. PERRY
JOSEPH D. LEE
MICHAEL R. DOYEN
MICHAEL E. DOYEN
MICHAEL E. SOLOFF
KATHLEEN M. M\*DOWELL
GLENN D. POMERANIZ
THOMAS B. WALPER KATHLEEN M. M\*DOWELL
LOLENN D. POMERANTZ
THOMAS B. WALPERT
THOMAS B. WALPERT
THOMAS B. WALPERT
THOMAS B. WALPER
STUART N. SALURED
JEFFREY A. HEINTZ
JUDITH T. KITANO
TED DANE
STUART N. SENATOR
MARTIN D. BERN
ROBERT L. DELL ANGELO
JONATHAN E. ALTMAN
KELLY M. KLAUS
JONATHAN E. ALTMAN
KELLY M. KLAUS
DAVID B. GOLDMAN
DAVID H. FRY
MALCOLU A. HEINICKE
JAMES C. RUTTEN
RICHARD ST. JOHN
ROHIT K. SINGLA
CAROLYN HOECKER LUEDTKE
C. DAVID LEE
BRETT J. RODDA P.C.\*
KATHERINE M. FORSTER
BLANCA FROMM YOUNG
SETH GOLDMAN
GEANT A. DAVIS-DENNY
JONATHAN H. BLAVIN
DANIEL B. LEVIN
MIRIAM KIM
MISTY M. SANFORD
HALLYN J. CHEN
BETHAN W. KRISTOVICH
JACOB S. KREILKAMP
JEFFREY Y. WU
LAURA D. SMOLOWE
KYLE W. MACH

HEATHER E. TAKAHASHI
ERIN J. COX
BENJAMIN J. HORWICH
E. MARTIN ESTRADA
BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY
ELAINE J. GOLDENBERG P.C.\*
GINGER D. ANDERS P.C.\*
MARGARET G. MARASCHINO
JOHN M. GILDERSLEEVE
ADAM B. WEISS
KELLY L.C. KRIEBS
JEREMY A. LAWRENCE
LAURA K. LIN
ACHYUT J. PHADKE
ZACHARY M. BRIERS
JENNIFER M. BRODER
KURLVILLA J. OLASA
JUSTIN P. RAPHAEL
ROSE LEDA EHLER
JOHN W. BERRY
ROBYN K. BACOL
JONATHAN KRAVIS\*
KAREN A. LORANG JONATHAN KRAVIS\*
KAREN A. LORANG
JOHN L. SCHWAB
JOHN L. SCHWAB
EMILY C. CURRAN-HUBERTY
MATTHEW S. SCHONHOLZ
AIMEE M. CONTRERAS-CAMUA
L. ASHLEY AULL
VESLEY T.L. BURRELL
CRAIG JENNINGS LAVOIE
JENNIFED I BEYAN CRAIG JENNINGS LAVOIE
JENNIFER L. BRYAN
NICHOLAS D. FRAM
TYLER HILTON
VINCENT LING
LAUREN BELL
VICTORIA A. DEGTYAREVA
JULIANA M. YEE
JEREMY K. BEECHER
MATHEW K. DONOHUE
JOHN B. MAJOR
LAUREN C. BARNETT
NICK R. SIDNEY
SKYLAR B. GROVE NICK R. SIDNEY
SKYLAR B. GROVE
LAURA M. LOPEZ
COLIN A. DEVINE
DANE P. SHIKMAN
MAGGIE THOMPSON
ALLISON M. DAY
GIOVANNI S. SAARMAN GONZÁLEZ
STEPHANIE G. HERRERA

601 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW SUITE 500E WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001-5369 TELEPHONE (202) 220-1100 FACSIMILE (202) 220-2300

350 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE FIFTIETH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-3426 TELEPHONE (213) 683-9100 FACSIMILE (213) 683-3702

560 MISSION STREET TWENTY-SEVENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-3089 TELEPHONE (415) 512-4000 FACSIMILE (415) 512-4077

October 7, 2022

TERESA REED DIPPO DANIEL BENYAMIN SARA A. MCDERMOTT J. MAX ROSEN RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER\* ANNE K, CONLEY
DAVID W, MORESHEAD
ANDRE W, BREWSTER III
ROWLEY J, RICE
DAHLIA MIGNOUNA\* DAHLIA MIGNOUNA\*
BRANDON R. TEACHOUT
USHA CHILUKURI VANCE
ALEXANDER S. GORIN
ZARA BARI
BRENDAN B. GANTS\*
LAUREN E. ROSS\*
BENJAMIN G. BAROKH ABE DYK MICHELE C. NIELSEN MICHELE C. NIELSEN
APRIL YOUPEE-ROLL
COBUS VAN DER VEN\*
MEGAN MCCREADIE
STEPHEN HYLAS
SHANNON GALVIN AMINIRAD
XIAONAN APRIL HU\* XIAONAN APRIL HU\*
NATALIE KARL
BRANDON MARTINEZ
ERINMA E. MAN
CARRIE C. LITTEN
RUBY J. GARREIT\*
JAMES R. SALZMANN JAMES R. SALZMANIN
ROBIN S. GRAV
MICA L. MOGNE
JOSEPH MOSES
MICHAEL I. SELVIN
HUNTER V. ARMOUR
NATHANIBL F. SUSSMAN
PAUL E. MARTIN
REBECCA L. SCIARRINO
CORY M. BATZA
BRIAN R. BOESSENEKER
RIN R. BOESSENEKER
AVI REJMAN OVED
BOBSETT E. BOWEN AVI REJMAN OVED
ROBERT E. BOWEN
RICHARD T. JOHNSON
GRACE DAVIS FISHER
LAUREN N. BECK
CALEB W. PEIFFER
ANDRÉS CANTERO, JR.
GREGORY T. S. BISCHOPING\*

JAMIE B. LUGURI STEVEN B. R. LEVICK SARA H. WORTH NATALIE G. MOYCE WILLIAM M. ORR GABRIEL M. BRONSHTEYN GABRIEL M. BBRONSHTEYN
JING JIN
ALEX C. WERNER
ROSIO FLORES
JESSICA O. LAIRD
ERICA C. TOOCH
EVELYN DANFORTH-SCOTT
EVAN MANN
ANDREW T. NGUYEN
RACHEL M. SCHIFF
MIRANDA E. REHAUT
TIANA S. BAHERI
STEPHANY REAVES\*
LAUREN E. KUHN

OF COUNSEL

ROBERT K. JOHNSON PATRICK J. CAFFERTY, JR. PETER A. DETRE PETER E. GRATZINGER PETER E. GRATZINGER JENNY H. HONG KIMBERLY A. CHI ADAM R. LAWTON MICHAEL E. GREANEY SARAH J. COLE FAYE PAUL TELLER BOBBY MALHOTRA

Writer's Direct Contact (202)220-1130 (202)220-1130 FAX

Jonathan.Kravis@mto.com

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation, No. 3:21-md-02981-JD (N.D. Cal.) Re:

Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al., No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD (N.D. Cal.) In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD (N.D.

Cal.)

In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD (N.D.

Cal.)

State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD (N.D. Cal.) Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC, No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD (N.D. Cal.)

Counsel,

Enclosed please find the signed errata sheet for the transcript of Kirsten Rasanen's deposition, which took place on August 17, 2022.

Sincerely,

Jonathan I. Kravis

## Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 506-5 Filed 05/18/23 Page 19 of 21

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

October 7, 2022 Page 2

cc: epic-mobileapps@cravath.com StatesGooglePlayLeads@agutah.gov matchgoogle@hueston.com GoogleConsumersExternal@bartlitbeck.com DevelopersvGoogle@hausfeld.com

#### Errata Sheet - Deposition of Kirsten Rasanen

Case: In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

In re Google Play Developer Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

State of Utah v. Google LLC, Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

Match Group, LLC v. Google LLC, Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD

**Date of Deposition**: August 17, 2022

Page #	Line #	Currently Reads	Correction	Reason for Correction
20	16	Karen Kelleher	Caren Kelleher	Transcription error
20	19	Karen	Caren	Transcription error
24	1	Wayne Thornton	Wayne Thorsen	Transcription error
27	13	Barely.	Rarely.	Transcription error
27	13	At ad hoc, I would say.	Kind of ad hoc, I would say.	Transcription error
30	20	customer	custom	Transcription error
129	9	Badhu	Badoo	Transcription error
131	23	Sue Igo	Sue Igoe	Transcription error
199	8	like PAL	like Paul	Transcription error
229	7	place or	Play	Transcription error
231	10	by directionally.	bidirectionally.	Transcription error
283	11	business back	business – back	Transcription error
317	24	Tianlim was a project	Tian Lim was a product	Transcription error
325	5	botching	boxing	Transcription error
329	10	Tianlim	Tian Lim	Transcription error
334	5	lots	loss	Transcription error
358	16	ne	be	Transcription error
363	9	Kristen	Kirsten	Transcription error

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I have read the above-referenced deposition transcript and have made corrections, additions, or deletions that I desired to make; and that the transcript contains my true and correct testimony.

EXECUTED this 5th day of October, 2022 at San Francisco, California.

DocuSigned by:

Eirsten Rasanen

Kirsten Rasanen